Data Structures and Algorithms

(CS210A)

Lecture 24

- BFS traversal (proof of correctness)
- BFS tree
- An important application of BFS traversal

Breadth First Search traversal

BFS Traversal
in Undirected Graphs



BFS traversal of G from a vertex x

```
BFS(G, x)        //Initially for each v, Distance(v) ← ∞ , and Visited(v) ← false.
{  CreateEmptyQueue(Q);
   Distance(x) ← 0;
   Enqueue(x,Q);    Visited(x) ← true;
   While(Not IsEmptyQueue(Q))
   {   v ←Dequeue(Q);
       For each neighbor w of v
       {
           if (Distance(w) = ∞)
           {   Distance(w) ← Distance(v) +1 ;   Visited(w) ← true;
               Enqueue(w, Q);
           }
       }
   }
```

Observations about BFS(x)

Observations:

- Any vertex v enters the queue at most once.
- Before entering the queue, **Distance**(v) is updated.
- When a vertex v is dequeued, v **processes** all its **unvisited** neighbors as follows
  - its distance is **computed**,
  - It is **enqueued**.
- A vertex v in the queue **is surely removed** from the queue during the algorithm.

Correctness of BFS traversal

Question: What do we mean by correctness of **BFS**(**G**, x) ?

Answer:

- **All vertices** reachable from x **get visited**.
- Vertices are visited in **non-decreasing** order of distance from x.
- At the end of the algorithm, **Distance**(v) **is the distance** of vertex v from x.

The key idea

Partition the vertices according to their distance from x.



Correctness of BFS(x) traversal

Part 1

All vertices reachable from x get visited

Proof of Part 1

Theorem: Each vertex v reachable from x gets visited during **BFS**(G, x).

Proof:

(By **induction** on distance from x)

Inductive Assertion A(i) :

Every vertex v at distance i from x get visited.

Base case: $i = 0$.

x is the only vertex at distance **0** from x.

Right in the beginning of the algorithm **Visited**(x) ← **true**;

Hence the assertion **A**(**0**) is true.

Induction Hypothesis: **A**(j) is true for all $j < i$.

Induction step: To prove that **A**(i) is true.

Let $w \in V_i$.

BFS traversal of G from a vertex x

```
BFS(G, x)        //Initially for each v, Distance(v) ← ∞ , and Visited(v) ← false.
{  CreateEmptyQueue(Q);
   Distance(x) ← 0;
   Enqueue(x,Q);    Visited(x) ← true;
   While(Not IsEmptyQueue(Q))
   {   v ←Dequeue(Q);
       For each neighbor w of v
       {
           if (Distance(w) = ∞)
           {   Distance(w) ← Distance(v) +1 ;   Visited(w) ← true;
               Enqueue(w, Q);
           }
       }
   }
```

Induction step:

To prove that **w** ∈ V_i is visited during **BFS**(x)



Let $v \in V_{i-1}$ be any neighbor of w.

By induction hypothesis,

v gets visited during **BFS**(x).

So v gets **Enqueued**.

Hence v gets **dequeued**.

Focus on the moment when v is **dequeued**,

➔

v scans all its neighbors and

marks all its unvisited neighbors as **visited**.

Hence w gets **visited** too if not already visited.

This proves the induction step.

Hence by the principle of mathematical induction, **A**(i) holds for each i.

This completes the proof of **part 1**.

Correctness of BFS(x) traversal

Part 3

Distance(v) stores distance of v from x



BFS tree

BFS traversal gives a tree

Perform BFS traversal from x.



A nontrivial application of BFS traversal

Determining
if a graph is bipartite

Bipartite graph

Definition: A graph **G**=(**V**,**E**) is said to be bipartite
if its vertices can be partitioned into two sets **A** and **B**
such that every edge in **E** has one endpoint in **A** and another in **B**.



A B

YES

Is this graph bipartite ?

Nontriviality

in determining whether a graph is bipartite

Both are same graph but drawn in different ways. Can you see it ?

Is this graph bipartite ?



bipartite



bipartite

Bipartite graph

Question: Is a path bipartite ?



Answer: Yes

Bipartite graph

Question: Is a cycle bipartite ?



non-bipartite



bipartite

Bipartite graph

Question: Is a cycle bipartite ?



Subgraph

A subgraph of a graph $G=(V,E)$

is a graph $G'=(V',E')$ such that

- $V' \subseteq V$
- $E' \subseteq E \cap (V' \times V')$

Question: If G has a subgraph which is **an odd cycle**, is G bipartite ?

Answer: **No**.

Bipartite graph

Question: Is a tree bipartite ?



Answer: Yes

Even level vertices: **A**

Odd level vertices: **B**

An algorithm for determining if a given graph is bipartite

Assumption:

the graph is a single connected component

Compute a BFS tree at any vertex x.



Observation:

If every non-tree edge goes between two consecutive levels of **BFS** tree, then the graph is bipartite.

Question:

What if there is an edge with both end points at same level ?

What if there is an edge
with both end points at same level ?

Keep following parent pointer from u and w simultaneously until we reach a common ancestor. What do we get ?

Can you spot an **odd length cycle** here ?




An odd cycle
containing u and w
A
V_0
x
0
B
V_1
1
A
V_2
2
B
V_{i-1}
$i-1$
A
V_i
u
w
i
B
V_{i+1}
$i+1$

Observation:

If there is **any** non-tree edge with both endpoints at the same level

then the graph has **an odd length cycle**.

Hence the graph is **not** bipartite.

Theorem:

There is an **O**($n + m$) time algorithm to determine

if a given graph is **bipartite**.

In the next 3 classs, we are going to discuss **Depth First Traversal**

: the most nontrivial, elegant graph traversal technique with wide applications.

Make sure you attend these 3 classes ☺