### Data Structures and Algorithms (CS210A) Semester I – 2014-15

#### Lecture 37

• A new algorithm design paradigm: Greedy strategy

part IV

### **Problems** solved till now

- 1. Job Scheduling Problem
- 2. Mobile Tower Problem



# **Problem 1** Job scheduling Problem

#### **INPUT:**

- A set **J** of **n** jobs  $\{j_1, j_2, ..., j_n\}$
- job  $j_i$  is specified by two real numbers
  - s(i): start time of job  $j_i$
  - f(i): finish time of job  $j_i$
- A single server



- Server can execute <u>at most one job</u> at any moment of time and a job.
- Job  $j_i$ , if scheduled, has to be scheduled <u>during[s(i), f(i)] only</u>.

#### Aim:

To select the **largest** subset of **<u>non-overlapping</u>** jobs which can be executed by the server.



# All that we could do was to make a local observation

Let  $x \in J$  be the job with earliest finish time.

**Lemma1** : There exists <u>an</u> optimal solution for J in which x is present.



Equation (i) hints at recursive solution of the problem  $\bigcirc$ 

# **Theorem:** Opt(J) = Opt(J') + 1.

Proof has two parts
 Opt(J) ≥ Opt(J') + 1

 $Opt(J') \ge Opt(J) - 1$ 

• Proof for each part is a proof by **construction** 

# Problem 2 Mobile Tower Problem

#### **Problem statement:**

We could not say anything about the **complete solution** of this problem.

There is a set *H* of *n* houses located along a roa

We want to place mobile towers such that

- Each house is **<u>covered</u>** by at least one mobile tower.
- The number of mobile towers used is **least** possible.

# All that we could do was to make a local observation

**Lemma 2**: There is an optimal solution for the problem in which the <u>leftmost</u> tower is placed at distance d to the right of the first house.



Equation (i) hints at recursive solution of the problem  $\bigcirc$ 

# What is a greedy strategy ?

A strategy that is

- Based on some **local** approach
- With the **objective to optimize** some function.

#### Note:

Recall that the divide and conquer strategy takes a **global approach**.

# **Design of a greedy algorithm**

Let **A** be an instance of an optimization problem.

- 1. Make a local observation about the solution.
- Use this observation to express optimal solution of A in terms of
  - Optimal solution of <u>a smaller instance</u> A'
  - Local step

Greedy step /'(<u>smaller</u> instance)

**J**(original solution)

- 3. This gives a recursive solution.
- 4. Transform it into iterative one.

# MST

**Input:** an undirected graph G = (V, E) with w:  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

Aim: compute a spanning tree  $(V, E'), E' \subseteq E$  such that  $\sum_{e \in E'} w(e)$  is minimum.

Lemma If you have understood a generic way to design a greedy algorithm, then try to solve the MST problem. If  $e_0 \in E$  is the eage or least weight in G, then there is a **IVISI** *I* containing  $e_0$ .











Let (u,v) be the least weight edge in G = (V, E). Transform G into G' as follows.

- Remove vertices u and v and add a new vertex w
- For each edge  $(u,x) \in E$ , add edge (w,x) in G'.
- For each edge  $(v,x) \in E$ , add edge (w,x) in G'.
- In case of multiple edges between **w** and **x**, keep only the **lighter** weight edge.

```
Theorem1: W_MST(G) = W_MST(G') + w(u,v)
Proof: (by construction)
```

1. 
$$W_MST(G) \le W_MST(G') + w(u,v)$$
 straightforward  
2.  $W_MST(G') \le W_MST(G) - w(u,v)$  Use Lemma 3  
(Give all details of the proof as a homework)

# Problem 4 Overlapping Intervals

The aim of this problem is to make you realize that it is sometime very nontrivial to design a greedy algorithm. In particular, it is quite challenging to design the smaller instance. In the end semester exam of the course, no problem of this level of difficulty will be asked ©

#### **Problem statement:**

Α

Given a set **A** of *n* intervals, compute smallest set **B** of intervals so that for every interval I in  $A \setminus B$ , there is some interval in **B** which overlaps/intersects with I.

#### **Problem statement:**

Given a set **A** of *n* intervals, compute smallest set **B** of intervals so that

for every interval I in  $A \setminus B$ , there is some interval in **B** which overlaps/intersects with I.



#### Strategy 1

Interval with maximum length should be there in optimal solution

#### Intuition:

Selecting such an interval will cover maximum no. of other intervals

There is a counter example  $\otimes$ 

#### Strategy 1

Interval with maximum length should be there in optimal solution

#### Intuition:

Selecting such an interval will cover maximum no. of other intervals

There is a counter example 😕

#### Strategy 2

Interval that overlaps maximum no. of intervals should be there in optimal solution

#### Intuition:

Selecting such an interval will cover maximum no. of other intervals

There is a counter example  $\otimes$ 

#### Strategy 2

Interval that overlaps maximum no. of intervals should be there in optimal solution

Not an optimal solution 😕

#### Strategy 2

Interval that overlaps maximum no. of intervals should be there in optimal solution

An optimal solution has size 2.

#### Think for a while :

After failure of two strategies, how to proceed to design the algorithm.

Let I\* be the interval with earliest finish time.

Let I' be the interval with **maximum** finish time overlapping I\*.



Lemma1: There is an optimal solution for set A that contains I'. Proof:(sketch):

If I\* is overlapped by any other interval in the optimal solution, say I^,

will surely overlap all intervals that are overlapped by I'.

→ Swapping I^ by I' will still give an optimal solution.

Exploit the fact that I\* has earliest finish time for this claim.

Question: How to obtain smaller instance A' using Lemma 1 ?



**Question:** How to obtain smaller instance **A'** using **Lemma 1** ?

Naive approach : remove from A all intervals which overlap with I'. This is A'.



**Question:** How to obtain smaller instance **A'** using **Lemma 1** ?

Naive approach : remove from A all intervals which overlap with I'. This is A'.



The problem is that some deleted interval (in this case I") could have been used for intersecting many intervals if it were not deleted. But deleting it from the instance disallows it to be selected in the solution.

# **Overview of the approach**

In order to make sure we do not delete intervals (like I" in the previous slide) if they are essential to be selected to cover many other intervals, we make some **observations** and introduce a terminology called **Uniquely covered** interval. It turns out that we need to keep I" in the smaller instance if there is an interval there which is uniquely covered by I". Otherwise, we may discard I".

## **Carefully constructing A'**

Question: Among the intervals that overlap I', which intervals should be kept in A'?



## **Carefully constructing A'**

Question: Among the intervals that overlap I', which intervals should be kept in A'?



## **Uniquely covered interval**



2 is said to be uniquely covered by 1 if

- 2 is fully covered by 1
- Every interval overlapping 2 is also full covered by 1.

**Lemma2** : There is an optimal solution containing 1.

**Proof:** Surely 12 or some other interval overlapping it must be there in the optimal solution. If we replace that interval by 11, we still get a solution of the same size and hence an optimal solution.

We are now ready to give description/construction of the smaller instance **A'** from **A**.

- There will be two cases.
- We shall then prove that |Opt(A)| = |Opt(A')| + 1 for each of these cases.

#### Important note:

- The reader is advised to full understand Lemma1, Lemma2, Observation1, and the notion of Uniquely covered interval.
- Also fully internalize the notations I\*, I', and I".

This will help the reader understand the rest of the solution.





If there is an interval  $I \in D$  uniquely covered by I'', then we define A' as follows. Remove all intervals from A which overlap with I' (this was our usual way of defining A' in our wrong solution). Now add I'' to this set. This set is the smaller instance A' for **Case 1**.

We shall now define A' for Case 2.



If there is no interval in **D** uniquely covered by **I**", then we define **A**' as follows. Remove all intervals from **A** which overlap with **I**' (this was our usual way of defining **A**' in our wrong solution). This set is the smaller instance **A**' for **Case 2**.

## Theorem1: |Opt(A)| = |Opt(A')| + 1

We shall prove this theorem for case 1 as well as case 2.

#### **Case1:** There is an interval I $\in$ D uniquely covered by I"



#### **Case1:** There is an interval I $\in$ D uniquely covered by I"



This finishes the proof of **Theorem** for **Case 1**.

We shall now analyze Case2 and prove Theorem for this case as well.

#### Case2: There is no interval uniquely covered by I"



#### Case2: There is no interval uniquely covered by I"



Let **i** be the interval in **D** which intersects the violet vertical line (has finish time greater than that of **I**") and has **earliest** start time. It suffices if we can show that every interval of **D** overlaps with **i**. We proceed as follows. Consider any interval **i** in **D**. There are two cases.

- Finish time of i is less than that of I". In other words, i does not intersects the violet line. In this case, there must be some other interval in D that overlaps i and intersects the violet line (otherwise, i would be uniquely covered by I"); since start time of i is less than this interval, so i is overlapped by i as well.
- Finish time of i is more than I". In other words, i does intersect the violet line. Hence i overlaps with i as well since the latter also intersects the violet line.

→ Hence if remove I' and I" from the given optimal solution of A, and add  $\ddot{i}$  to it, we get a solution for A'. Since optimal solution for A' has to be smaller or equal in size related to this solution, we get  $|Opt(A')| \le |Opt(A)| - 1$  for Case 2.

Hence we have proved **Theorem1**: |Opt(A)| = |Opt(A')| + 1

Now in order to design the algorithm for our problem based on the greedy strategy, we just need to determine whether the smaller instance A' corresponds to Case 1 or Case 2.

## How to distinguish between Case1 and Case2?



## How to distinguish between Case1 and Case2 ?



- Mf(I,D) : the finish time of that interval in D that <u>overlaps</u> I and has <u>max. finish time</u>. If f(I") > Mf(I,D)
- then Case 1 (keep I" in A')
- else Case 2 (there is no need to keep I" in A')

## Algorithm

From the discussion till now,

- We have an algorithm for the problem.
- A polynomial bound on the time complexity is obvious.

A necessary (but not sufficient) condition to score A\* in the course is the following exercise.

**Exercise**: Provide the <u>most efficient</u> implementation of the algorithm discussed in the class along with its <u>pseudocode</u>.

Submit handwritten (but very neat) report as a solution of this exercise.

